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Abstract—This research investigated the effects of addition of ethanol to gasoline with the aim of improving the performance and emission characteristics of Spark Ignition (SI) engine. Four samples of gasoline-ethanol blend were prepared, namely 100% ethanol, 100% gasoline, 95% gasoline + 5% ethanol and 90% gasoline+10% ethanol, and were labeled sample A, B, C and D respectively. Physiochemical analysis was carried out on the four samples while sample B, C, and D were used to run a single cylinder, two stroke, air cooled SI engine to determine the performance characteristics of the engine at four engine speeds of 800rpm, 1000rpm, 1200rpm, and 1400rpm. An exhaust gas analyzer was used to analyze the exhaust emission to determine its constituents at no load. The research concluded that blending gasoline with ethanol not only improved the performance of the engine, it also yielded a friendlier emission. It also solves the problem of sole dependence on petroleum products to run SI engines with its attendant cost and environmental implications.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The modern society, driven by technology, faces the challenges posed by increased environmental pollution from Internal Combustion Engines (ICE) emissions. The effects of these emitted pollutants are not limited to hazards such as global warming, acid rain, smog, and respiratory and other health problems. To make the environment sustainable, it is necessary to reduce the harmful emissions. The prominent emissions from ICES, such as HC, CO, NOx, SO2, and solid particles can be reduced by the addition of appropriate additives to the fuel while also increasing the vehicle performance. Alcohol is one of the fuel additives that can achieve both. Alcohol (methanol or ethanol) has a good antiknock characteristic, it is a renewable energy source and can reduce CO and HC emissions. However, ethanol is preferable to methanol because of its less toxicity, flammability and oxygenated characteristics. These qualities bring about improved fuel properties when compared to gasoline. Besides, the higher auto-ignition temperature and flash point of ethanol, the lower Reid evaporation pressure and the lower evaporative losses make it safer for transportation and storage. Ethanol has been found to have higher latent heat of evaporation, allows lower intake manifold temperature, results in increased volumetric efficiency, contains more oxygen that help to achieve complete combustion than gasoline. In spite of these advantages, ethanol usage as fuel has not been fully embraced [1].

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Many researchers [2], [3], [4] have investigated the effects of various alternative fuels on the performance and the degree of emission of harmful gases of an engine with various level of success and results. In a research, various blends of ethanol-gasoline fuels were used in engine tests, the outcome of this study show that the inclusion of 10% ethanol has a significant effect on the octane number by 5% and also the engine power output was however increased.

Abdel- Rahman and Osman also tested various percentages of ethanol blends in a variable-compression-ratio engine. They found that increase in ethanol content in the fuel blend caused an upsurge in the octane number, however, this would bring about a decreases in the heating rate. The increase of 10% in the addition of ethanol shows a noticeable result on the rise in the octane number. However, further down several compression ratios of engine, the optimum mixing ratio, without engine modification, for ethanol/gasoline was showed to be 10/90% [6]. Blending gasoline with ethanol has also been found to reduce Co and UHC emissions in engines [7].

In a research on the effects of ethanol-unleaded gasoline blends on engine performance and exhaust emission in SI engine. The results of this research indicated that the inclusion of unleaded gasoline gives rise to the increase in the engine torque, this also increases the fuel consumption, and power. However, there is a reduction in the emissions of hydrocarbon, carbon monoxide and nitrogen oxide. The result also showed that the ethanol–gasoline blends resulted in increase in compression ratio [8].

III. METHODOLOGY

Four samples (A-D) were prepared and used for this research. These were 100% ethanol, 100% gasoline, 95% gasoline blended with 5% ethanol, and 90% ethanol blended with10% ethanol and were labeled as samples A, B, C and D respectively. Ethanol was purchased from open market with 95% concentration while gasoline was gotten from the Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation (NNPC) retail outlet in Ado Ekiti, Nigeria. The four samples (A, B, C, D) were subjected to standard laboratory analysis to determine the physicochemical fuel properties. However, only samples B, C,
and D were used for the engine performance tests because spark ignition engines cannot be run on 100% ethanol.

Standard laboratory methods were adopted to determine the refractive index, specific gravity, kinematic viscosity, ash content, flash point, volatile matter, cloud point, pour point, fire point, moisture content, fixed carbon, boiling point and heating value of the fuel samples. Samples B, C, and D were used to run a single cylinder, Spark Ignition, small ICE test bed to determine its performance characteristics while an exhaust gas analyzer was used to analyze the exhaust gases at different engine speeds.

**A. Experimental Set Up**

The TD200 small engine experiment was conducted to validate the fuel additives of the analysis and to implement strategies for fuel additive start ability and control. The TD200 small engine is a 200 cc two stroke, air-cooled single cylinder SI engine loaded by a hydraulic dynamometer. The hydraulic dynamometer is used to apply load to the test engine. The test engine fits to the bed in line with dynamometer and coupled to a shaft by means of a small flexible coupling. The test engine was attached to an hydraulic dynamometer equipped with a device control panel fixed with a torque gauge, switches for the load remote control and electric tachometer. With the aid of a stopwatch with a standardized burette, the consumption of the fuel was however measured. The experiments were conducted at four engine speeds of 800rpm, 1000rpm, 1200rpm and 1400rpm using the fuel samples B, C, and D. An exhaust gas analyzer was connected to analyze the constituents of the exhaust gas during the course of the experiment. Figs. 1, 2 and 3 show the engine test bed, and the exhaust gas analyzer, and the front view of the exhaust gas analyzer respectively.

![Fig. 1. The Engine test bed](image-url)
IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The results of this research are categorized into three, namely the physiochemical result, engine performance results, and emission test result.

A. Physiochemical Result

The physiochemical tests were carried at Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria. The results of the analysis are shown in Table I.

As shown in Table I, the physicochemical properties of the physicochemical properties of sample A (ethanol) like boiling point, fire point, flash point, specific gravity, cloud point, fixed carbon, etc., were found to be higher than that of sample B (gasoline). This may be due to the make-up of ethanol which makes it require more energy for combustion when compared with gasoline. However, the heating value of gasoline was found to be greater than that of ethanol which shows that more energy is derived from the combustion of equal volume of gasoline than ethanol.

Also samples C and D significant similarities in properties, this might be attributed to the very small percentages (5% and 10%) of ethanol that was added to gasoline. However, the import of the blending will be felt more when analyzing its effects on engine performance and emissions.

B. Results of engine performance tests

The Engine Performance tests were carried out at 800rpm, 1000rpm, 1200rpm, and 1400rpm, and at each speed, the Torque, fuel consumption, and the exhaust gas temperature were read on the test bed. However other performance criteria were calculated from these parameters as well as data from the results of the physicochemical analysis, and the results are tabulated in Tables II, III, and IV for samples B, C, and D respectively.

TABLE I: THE RESULTS OF THE PHYSICOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS OF SAMPLES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Sample A</th>
<th>Sample B</th>
<th>Sample C</th>
<th>Sample D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Refractive index @30°C</td>
<td>1.360</td>
<td>1.452</td>
<td>1.423</td>
<td>1.423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific gravity (g/cm³)</td>
<td>0.7756</td>
<td>0.7043</td>
<td>0.7029</td>
<td>0.7040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinematic Viscosity (pas/sec)</td>
<td>1.7690 x10⁻³</td>
<td>0.8498 x10⁻³</td>
<td>0.8150 x10⁻³</td>
<td>0.8456 x10⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash content (%)</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flashpoint (°C)</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volatile matter (%)</td>
<td>94.144</td>
<td>99.666</td>
<td>97.198</td>
<td>90.983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cloud point (°C)</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>-16</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pour point (°C)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire point (°C)</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture content (%)</td>
<td>1.860</td>
<td>1.876</td>
<td>1.871</td>
<td>2.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed carbon (%)</td>
<td>0.985</td>
<td>0.291</td>
<td>0.860</td>
<td>6.917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boiling point (°C)</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heating value (kJ)</td>
<td>33210.91</td>
<td>33482.434</td>
<td>32555.886</td>
<td>32848.938</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: Sample A = 100% Ethanol, Sample B = 100% Gasoline, Sample C = 95% Gasoline + 5% Ethanol, Sample D = 90% Gasoline + 10% Ethanol

Fig. 2. Exhaust Gas Analyzer

Fig. 3. Front view of the Exhaust Gas Analyzer
C. Torque

The effect of addition of different percentages of ethanol to gasoline at different speeds on engine torque is as shown in Fig. 4. It can be deduced that sample D produced the highest torque followed by sample C and sample B in that other. Conclusively, the higher the percentage of ethanol addition the higher the torque produced within the engine speed of 800rpm to 1400rpm. This showed that one way to improve the torque generated by SI engine is to blend the gasoline with ethanol, however, the percentage of ethanol should be not be above 10%.

D. Brake Power

The brake power of the engine increased with engine speed as shown in Fig. 5, sample D presented the best brake power across the speed range. Sample B produced better brake power at 100rpm than sample C but as the engine speed increases, sample C produced better brake power than sample B. This showed that the addition of ethanol to gasoline enhance the brake power of the engine.

E. Exhaust Temperature

Variations in the exhaust gas temperature at different speeds of the engine are as presented in Fig. 6. There is an increase in exhaust gas temperature with rise in the speed of the engine. This is true for stationary, air cooled engine due to absence of either water of air to cool the engine Moreover, there is an average decrease in exhaust gas temperature as compared to pure gasoline are 6.89% and 13.97% in sample C, and sample D respectively. Conclusively, addition of ethanol to gasoline increases the exhaust gas temperature of the engine considerably.
F. Brake Specific Fuel Consumption

Brake Specific Fuel consumption is a measure of how much fuel is consumed in one hour to produce one kilowatt brake power at the engine shaft. The importance of fuel consumption cannot be over emphasized in this study as it gives the amount of energy produced for every unit of fuel consumed. As highlighted in Fig. 7, it is noticed that BSFC reduces as the percentage of ethanol rises to 10%. Furthermore, the BSFC reduces with the increase in engine speed. In addition, there is a small change in the BSFC via pure gasoline and ethanol/gasoline blend, particularly at high engine speed. However, it is to be expected outcome of engines at higher speeds [9].

G. Thermal Efficiency

The variation of engine speeds with thermal efficiency is shown in Fig. 8. It was observed that increase in engine speed resulted in corresponding increase in the thermal efficiency, where the quantity of air increase due to the consequence of choking in the induction system [9]. Furthermore, Fig. 8 illustrates a rise in the thermal efficiency as the percentage of ethanol in fuel blends increased to 5%. This can be attributed to the increase of the charge temperature at the end of the induction process.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exhaust Gases</th>
<th>Sample B</th>
<th>Sample C</th>
<th>Sample D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO (%)</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ (%)</td>
<td>6.24</td>
<td>6.07</td>
<td>4.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC (ppm)</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>1716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOₓ (ppm)</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>λ</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I. Carbon dioxide

The percentage of Carbon dioxide (CO₂) emission for the various samples is shown in Fig. 9. Sample B present the highest percentage of CO₂ emission of 6.24% followed by sample C of 6.07% and sample D of 4.99%. The addition of gasoline resulted in the reduction in the percentage of CO₂ in the emission and makes the mixture more environmental friendly. Though the result showed that there was complete combustion.

J. Hydrocarbon

Hydrocarbons (HC) are an emission resulting from the release of unburned fuel into the atmosphere. Hydrocarbon emissions are usually caused by incomplete combustion or by fuel evaporation. As shown in Fig. 10, HC emission increases with addition of ethanol to gasoline. The emission is reduced and this can be attributed to a better combustion rate. However, this is ascribed to the variation of the stoichiometric air-fuel ratio of the ethanol blends with the actual air-fuel ratio of the ethanol blends as a result of the increase in oxygen content in the ethanol [9].

K. Carbon monoxide

Carbon monoxide (CO) exists only in engine exhaust. CO is an extremely toxic emission resulting from the release of partially burned fuel. Since CO emission is as a result of incomplete combustion, the way to eliminate CO emission is to ensure complete combustion in our engines always particularly during idling when CO emission has been found to be high. Though the addition of 5% ethanol and 10% ethanol to gasoline respectively reduce the CO emission from 3.15% to 1.26% and 0.73% respectively as shown in
Fig. 11, these figures are still higher than the reasonable and acceptable target of 0.5%.

![CO Emission Graph]

Fig. 11. Carbon monoxide emission of samples

L. Oxides of Nitrogen

Oxides of Nitrogen (NOx) are emissions produced by high temperatures during process of combustion. With enough heat, above 1370°C, nitrogen and oxygen in the air-fuel mixture combine to form NOx emissions. Therefore, high temperature and availability of oxygen are the two main conditions for the formation of NOx. As shown in Fig. 12, the NOx emission increased significantly with the addition of ethanol to gasoline.

![NOx Emission Graph]

Fig. 12. Oxides of Nitrogen emission of samples

V. CONCLUSION

The effect of using gasoline–ethanol blends on SI engine has been investigated in this research. The research comprises the blending of various percentage of ethanol with gasoline, determining the physicochemical properties, using the fuel samples to run and IC engine, determining the performance characteristics of the engine at specified speeds and carrying out an exhaust gas analysis when using the samples to run an IC engine at no load. The results showed that blending gasoline with ethanol can increase torque, thermal efficiency and exhaust gas temperature, while it decreases the specific fuel consumption. The 10% volume ethanol in fuel blend indicates the best results for all measured parameters at all the engine speeds considered.
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